



Operator Renewal Report REACH! Partnership School #341

Baltimore City Public Schools
Office of New Initiatives, Room 319B
Office of Achievement and Accountability, Room 201
200 E. North Avenue
Baltimore, MD 21202
www.baltimorecityschools.org

REPORT INTRODUCTION

Purpose of the Report

The renewal recommendation report is a summary of findings regarding renewal of the charter or contract for an operator-run school, and the resulting renewal recommendation. Baltimore City Public Schools (“City Schools”) collects and analyzes documentation including the school’s renewal application, and an evaluation of the school’s performance based on the renewal rubric, the School Effectiveness Review (“SER”) performed on site at each school, the 5Essentials Student and Teacher Survey Report, and consideration of all other relevant information.

City Schools’ renewal criteria are based on state law (§ 9-101, *et seq.*, MD. CODE ANN., EDUC.) and Baltimore City Board of School Commissioners (“Board”), Policy IHBJ and associated administrative regulations (IHBJ-RA and JFA-RA). Board Policy IHBJ requires that schools up for renewal be evaluated on multiple measures including, but not limited to, the following:

- **Student achievement** (performance on state assessments, growth measures/value added measures, unique indicators, academic programming for special student populations and a school’s fidelity to its charter or contract)
- **School climate** (chronic absence, suspensions, enrollment trends, school choice data, and school survey results)
- **Financial management and governance** (annual audits, school budget submissions, grants management, board documentation; compliance/adherence with federal, state, and local laws, rules, and regulations)
- An overall finding of not effective in any of the key areas (Student Achievement, School Climate, or Financial Management/Governance) may be the basis of a non-renewal decision.

The renewal process is a component of City Schools’ annual review of its schools, designed to ensure that students and families across the district have access to school options that meet their interests and needs. The framework was originally developed in 2011 by the Renewal Stakeholders Working Group (composed of school operators from a range of school types, Supporting Public Schools of Choice, the Maryland Charter School Network, and district staff) who worked to develop a methodology for evaluating the performance of operator-run schools. The result: a fair, transparent, and rigorous renewal framework that reflects schools’ unique nature and innovative contributions to student achievement, used for the first time in the 2012-13 school year, and a process for reviewing and updating the framework each year.

At the conclusion of each year’s renewal cycle, staff engages operators and other key stakeholders in a review of the process to identify areas for improvement that could be addressed while still maintaining a level of predictability for schools up for renewal in the following year. The most recent round of review considered the transition from the Partnership for the Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (“PARCC”) to the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment Program (“MCAP”), as well as the lack of state assessment data for school years 2019-20 and 2020-21 due to the Covid-19 pandemic. Key changes made to the framework as a result of this most recent review include the following:

- The timeline of the renewal process has been extended so that 2021-22 Maryland Comprehensive Assessment Program (MCAP) scores could be considered prior to renewal recommendations being made. MCAP scores were released by the Maryland State Department of Education (“MSDE”) in January 2023. MCAP data is considered in three metrics used in the renewal rubric:
 - Absolute Student Achievement
 - Effective Programming for All Student Racial and Ethnic Groups
 - Effective Programming for Students with Disabilities

MCAP Update (February 2023): The 2021-22 school year was the first administration of MCAP, the state’s new assessments for ELA and Math. The majority of schools in Baltimore City performed similarly on these assessments with the average students performing at a level 2 for ELA assessments in grades 3-5, 6-8 and English 10, indicating that students demonstrate partial proficiency in this subject and need additional academic support to ensure success in the next grade level.

For math, City Schools, like Maryland and school districts across the country, experienced decreases between 2019 and 2022, and the average performance level for Baltimore City students is a level 2 for Math assessments for grades 3-5, and level 1 for Math assessments in grades 6-8. Performance level 1 indicates students do not yet demonstrate proficiency in the subject and need substantial academic supports to be prepared for the next grade level. For Algebra I, results were an equal mix of level 1 and 2.

Additionally, for both ELA and math assessments, many schools’ performance was so similar that the level of differentiation that allowed for ranking each school using percentile comparisons within an economic disadvantage group to determine ratings, as City Schools historically has done in operator renewal, was not possible. In some cases, if using percentile rankings, the difference between schools rated Effective and Not Effective in an MCAP absolute measure would be a difference of only two points in mean scale score, meaning schools with similar performance would receive very different ratings.

In order to address the lack of differentiation evident in the data, for the current renewal process City Schools has adopted ratings for the MCAP absolute measure identifying schools as “Developing” when their average student performance level averages to a 2 or below, and “Effective” when the student performance level averages 3. Ratings of “Highly Effective” and “Not Effective” for MCAP Absolute will not be given in this year’s renewal process.

- Trend in Student Achievement, along with Absolute and Growth data, is one of three ways assessment data is used in the renewal rubric. Because of the transition to MCAP, trend data is not available for use in this year’s renewal process. The weight of this measure has been redistributed to other measures in the Academics section of the renewal rubric.
- The 5Essentials survey of students and instructional staff has been added to the renewal review in the Academic section of the rubric. This addition helps to reduce the weight on assessment measures considered in this section while providing valuable insights on school organization. The 5Essentials is a research-backed diagnostic survey administered to instructional staff and students that identifies five indicators that lead to improved

outcomes for all students, including improved attendance, graduation rates, freshman on track and larger test score gains.¹ The five indicators are:

- Effective Leaders
- Collaborative Teachers
- Involved Families
- Supportive Environments
- Ambitious Instruction

"Research shows schools strong on at least three of the 5Essentials were 10 times more likely to show substantial gains in student learning than schools weak on three or more of the five essentials. A low score in even just one of the five essentials reduced the likelihood of improvement to less than 10 percent."² Use of the 5Essentials in the renewal process gives Advisory Board reviewers, district leadership, the Board of School Commissioners, schools, and the public additional insight into how schools are organizing themselves for improvement in delivering high quality instruction to students.

The Terms

According to Board Policy IHBJ, City Schools may determine that a public charter school is eligible for an eight-year contract term, five-year contract term, three-year contract term, or nonrenewal. The eight-year renewal term is an option based on the updated Board Policy IHBJ. Board Policy IHBJ allows the Board to consider an eight-year term for schools that have “two or more consecutive full renewals, including demonstrating quality programming for all student groups” and that have met the standard for a five-year renewal in the year of the latest review. A non-renewal recommendation in most cases includes a decision to close the program.

The Process

The process uses the following components:

- Renewal Rubric (Updated to reflect the above changes)
- Application for Renewal
- Data Tables prepared by City Schools
- School Effectiveness Review
- 5Essentials Survey Report

The process starts with a review by the Charter and Operator-led Schools Advisory Board (“Advisory Board”), whose members represent foundations, nonprofit organizations, school choice advocates, school operators, and district representatives; and whose function is to provide advice to the CEO on renewal and other issues as they relate to operator-led schools. In performing its review, the Advisory Board looks at all data and information, both quantitative and qualitative, to assess strengths and weaknesses of each school program and make sound recommendations to the CEO.

¹ https://www.uchicagoimpact.org/sites/default/files/5EOnePager_Nov2019.pdf

² <https://www.uchicagoimpact.org/our-offerings/5essentials>

The CEO considers the recommendations and the quantitative and qualitative review of the Advisory Board, and then through administrative review, makes her recommendation to the Board. In some instances, the nature or severity of an issue raised during the renewal process is serious enough to give it extra weight in formulating the renewal recommendation and, ultimately, the renewal decision, especially in instances where the issue affects the wellbeing of students, staff, or the district as a whole. For the Financial Management/Governance section, a rating of not effective in any one of the three elements that make up that section can result in a rating of not effective for the entire section depending on the severity of the concerns.

The ultimate decision on all operator renewals rests with the Board, which considers the recommendations and rationale of the CEO, the operator renewal report, testimony given at public Board meetings and work sessions, the contents of the official record, and the factors listed in Board Policy IHBJ and Administrative Regulation IHBJ-RA for all operator-led schools and Policy FCA and Administrative Regulation FCA-RA for non-charter schools. This includes the Board’s ability to consider prior partial renewal terms afforded to operators who have had serious challenges in the prior renewal that remain issues that continue to affect the wellbeing of students, staff, or the district as a whole, based on evidence in this renewal process. In particular, as Board Policy IHBJ states, “[t]he Board may decide that a public charter school is not eligible for two consecutive partial renewals.”

Timeline

Actions	Date
Renewal application due from operators	September 1, 2022
Charter and Operator-led Schools Advisory Board reviews renewal applications and provides advice to the CEO on renewal recommendations	September to October 2022, January 2023
District presents preliminary findings of strengths and weaknesses to Board at public meeting	November 9, 2022
MSDE releases 2021-22 MCAP results	January 2023
Schools receive data on measures that consider MCAP results, including Absolute performance, and submit addendum addressing MCAP results	January-February 2023
Schools receive CEO’s renewal recommendation	February 2023
Renewal recommendations presented to Board	February 14, 2023
Work Session providing operators the opportunity to provide oral testimony to Board in a meeting open to the public	February 16, 2023
Board vote on renewal recommendations; Board deferred vote on one recommendation for further consideration	February 23, 2023
Board vote on deferred recommendation	February 28, 2023

REACH! Partnership School #341

Operator: Civic Works, Inc.

Configuration: High

Type: Transformation

Enrollment: 708³

Recommendation

5-year renewal

Discussion

On February 23, 2023, the Baltimore City Board of School Commissioners voted to renew the contract with Civic Works, Inc. to operate The REACH! Partnership School for a five-year term running from July 1, 2023 to June 30, 2028. The School was rated “Effective” in Academics, Climate and Financial Management/Governance.

The REACH! Partnership school has made progress in preparing students for graduation, college and career since the school’s previous renewal. The school is rated “Effective” in Fidelity to Charter/Application Overall, *indicating that the school has implemented the mission expressed in its application and the mission is clear to most stakeholders*⁴. The work the REACH! Partnership school has done to improve outcomes for students over the course of the contract show that the school has made a good to fair effort to gather data to assess its efficacy and has implemented effective strategies to address challenges evident in the data.

This improvement from the school’s previous renewal review reflects strength in the leadership and structure of the school, which is also shown in its rating of “Highly Effective” on the 5Essentials Survey, indicating that it is well organized for improvement in the future. The 5Essentials is a research-backed survey that identifies five indicators that lead to improved outcomes for all students, including improved attendance and larger test score gains and is predictive of school’s ability to improve in future years⁵. Research has shown that schools strong on at least three of the 5Essentials are 10 times more likely to show substantial gains in student learning than schools weak on three or more of the five essentials.

While only rated “Developing” in the 4-Year Cohort Graduation Rate; the school accomplished an important goal of raising its graduation rate from 61% to 74% over the course of the contract, leading to an average overall graduation rate of 71% over the three years looked at in the renewal review. To achieve this, the school conducted a root cause analysis of low graduation rates and has implemented strategies to address challenges in this area, including an On Track to Graduate model which engages students early in their high school careers to be active participants in monitoring their progress towards graduation goals, improved professional learning communities to help teachers to enhance the quality of instruction, and deeper use of data to identify students at risk of not graduating on time and direct additional supports to these students. These strategies have also helped the school lower its dropout rate by more than half

³ Final 9/30 enrollment for 2022-23.

⁴ Text in italics is quoted from the 2022-23 operator renewal rubric.

over the course of the contract, from 28% in 2019 to 13% in 2021. Since its previous renewal, the school also raised its rating to “Developing” in College and Career Readiness, which considers participation and success in career and college indicators (e.g., SAT, Advanced Placement, and Career and Technical Education) and enrollment in college. The school has focused on increasing the rigor of class offerings by adding additional Advanced Placement and dual enrollment opportunities for students, including Statistics through a partnership with University of Baltimore, as well as the rigor of Career and Technology Education (CTE) programs through partnerships relevant to the CTE pathways.

This improvement is also evident in the results from the REACH!’s School Effectiveness Review (SER). The SER is an objective and evidence-based analysis of how well a school is working to educate its students and consists of a two-and-a-half-day site visit to review school procedures and policies, in which reviewers observe classrooms, conduct focus groups and review documentation. REACH! was rated “Effective” in Highly Effective Instruction SER measure, which looks at how a school establishes an environment in which quality teaching and learning can occur. The school was rated “Highly Effective” in both the Talented People SER measure, which looks at how a school recruits and develops effective teachers, and the Vision and Engagement SER measure, which looks at how the school creates a welcoming atmosphere for students and families that leads to open communication and promotes an environment that meets the academic, social and emotional needs of students.

2021-22 was the first year that the state’s new assessment, the Maryland Comprehensive Assessment Program (MCAP) was administered. The school is rated “Developing” in both MCAP English 10 and Algebra I. In English 10, the school’s average student performance level was 2, indicating that students demonstrated partial proficiency and need additional academic support to ensure success in the next grade level or course and to be on track for college and career readiness. In Algebra I, the school’s average performance level was 1, indicating students do not yet demonstrate proficiency and need substantial academic support to be prepared for the next grade level or course and to be on track for college and career readiness. Performance in each of these assessments is similar to other schools in the district.

Another area of growth for REACH! Partnership is in Programming for Students with Disabilities, where the school is rated “Not Effective”, indicating that *there is little or no evidence that the school... is aware of its data and responsibilities to students with disabilities*. An audit conducted by the Office of Special Education as part of the renewal review showed that in a sample of ten student records, the school had not maintained service schedules for any of the ten students. Service schedules are required to show that students are receiving services as outlined in their Individualized Education Plan (IEP). The absence of such schedules is a serious issue and means that it cannot be verified that students were receiving appropriate services, leading to FAPE⁵ meetings for all ten students. The school’s data for students with disabilities is positive in some areas, such as attendance, where these students have higher attendance rates than general education students at the school and in the district overall. However, it’s important that the operator supports school leadership in ensuring it meets all of the requirements.

REACH! Partnership was rated “Developing” in Suspensions, which looks both at a school’s data in this area, as well as practices at the school to improve that data. There is evidence *that the school is aware of its suspension data and has positive behavior interventions in place*, such as Response to

⁵ Free and Appropriate Public Education

Intervention approach to identify and support students in need of behavioral support, and restorative practices. However, *these strategies have not yet proven effective*, as shown by the school’s suspension rate rising to 10 incidents per 100 students in 2021-22, an increase over previous years. In the Student Attendance: Chronic Absence metric which, like Suspensions, looks both at data and school practices, REACH! was also rated “Developing”. The school’s efforts in this area, such as cohort attendance monitoring, incentives for students, regular contact with families and a partnership with Concentric Education Solutions to provide outreach and support for students and families have *not yet lead to improvement* in reducing chronic absence rates, which showed that nearly 82% of students were chronically absence in 2021-22, more than 10 percentage points higher than the district average for high school students.

Findings (High school rubric)

Category 1. Is the school an academic success?

Sub-Category	Renewal Metric	City Schools Rating
1.1 Absolute Student Achievement	Average Student Performance Level MCAP Algebra I	Developing
	Average Student Performance Level MCAP ELA 10	Developing
1.2 College and Career Readiness	Participation and Success in indicators of college and career readiness; College Enrollment within 16 months of graduation	Developing
1.3 Fidelity to Charter/Application Overall	The extent to which the school has fully implemented the mission expressed in its charter application and this mission is clear to all stakeholders. The extent to which the school has delivered high quality programming for all student subgroups. The extent to which the school is gathering data to assess its efficacy and has effectively addressed any challenges evident in the data, particularly in the areas of subgroup performance, enrollment, student attendance, dropout rates, attrition and student choice data/school demand.	Effective
1.4 Student Graduation Rate: 4-Year Cohort Graduation Rate	Percent of diploma-track students who graduated from City Schools with their freshman-year cohort, averaged over school years 2019-2021.	Developing
1.5 5Essentials Student and Teacher Survey	The extent to which the school is organized for improvement	Highly Effective
Academics Overall		Effective

Category 2. Does the school have a strong school climate?

Sub-Category	Renewal Metric	City Schools Rating
2.1 SER, Highly Effective Instruction	School Effectiveness Review Score - Highly Effective Instruction	Effective
2.2 SER, Talented People	School Effectiveness Review Score - Talented People	Highly Effective
2.3 SER, Vision and Engagement	School Effectiveness Review Score - Vision and Engagement	Highly Effective
2.4 Family Survey	Family: School Survey Family Satisfaction Rating	Effective
2.5 Cohort Retention	Cohort Retention Rating	Not Effective
2.6 Student Attendance, Chronic Absence	The extent to which the school has implemented effective strategies that have kept student attendance high and chronic absences low or led to significant decreases in chronic absence over the course of the contract.	Developing
2.7 Suspensions	The extent to which the school has implemented effective strategies that have kept suspensions low or led to significant decreases over the course of the contract.	Developing
2.8 Effective Programming for Students with Disabilities	The extent to which the school has a demonstrated a strong trajectory of growth, is aware of its data and responsibilities to students with disabilities, does not have any gaps or has decreased gaps in the data as it relates to performance and climate metrics for students with disabilities over time, and has effectively and consistently implemented processes, interventions and strategies to support student outcomes over the course of the contract.	Not Effective
Climate Overall		Effective

Category 3. Has the school followed sufficient financial management and governance practices?

Sub-Category	Renewal Metric	City Schools Rating
3.1 Audit Content, Internal Controls	The extent to which the school's Independent Auditor's Reports offer unqualified opinions and no management points in each of the years of the charter term. The extent to which statements of cash flow and ratio of assets to liabilities indicate that the operator has strong performance on their short-term liquidity measure.	Meets Expectations

<p>3.2 Operator Capacity</p>	<p>The extent to which the school has operated effectively, and the operator has consistently met all state, federal reporting requirements critical District or federal obligations and has not received any Notices of Concern or Notices of Reprimand during the contract period. (Evidence that may be considered includes compliance with state or federal reporting requirements, budget submissions and monitoring reports, quarterly reports, and the relative number, frequency and severity of Notices of Concern or Notices of Reprimand.)</p>	<p>Developing</p>
<p>3.3 SER, Strategic Leadership/Governance</p>	<p>School Effectiveness Review Score - Strategic Leadership</p>	<p>Effective</p>
<p>Financial Management/Governance Overall</p>		<p>Effective</p>