



## **Renewal Report Southwest Baltimore Charter School (328)**

---

Baltimore City Public Schools  
Office of New Initiatives, Room 319B  
Office of Achievement and Accountability, Room 201  
200 E. North Avenue  
Baltimore, MD 21202  
[www.baltimorecityschools.org](http://www.baltimorecityschools.org)

## **REPORT INTRODUCTION**

### ***Purpose of the Report***

The renewal report is a summary of findings and a resulting recommendation regarding renewal of the charter or contract for an operator-run school. To inform this recommendation, Baltimore City Public Schools collects and analyzes documentation including the school's renewal application, and an evaluation of the school's performance based on the renewal rubric, the School Effectiveness Review (SER) performed on site at each school and consideration of all other relevant information.

City Schools' renewal criteria are based on state law (§ 9-101, *et seq.*, MD. CODE ANN., EDUC.) and Baltimore City Board of School Commissioners' policy IHBJ and associated administrative regulations (IHBJ-RA and JFA-RA). The Board's policy requires that schools up for renewal be evaluated on multiple measures including, but not limited to, the following:

- **Student achievement**, constituting at least 50 percent of the renewal score and including measures such as schoolwide performance on state assessments, College and Career Readiness (for schools with high school grades), academic programming for special student populations, and a school's fidelity to its charter
- **School climate** (chronic absence, suspensions, enrollment trends, school choice data, and school survey results from parents, teachers, and students)
- **Financial management and governance** (annual audits, school budget submissions, grants management, board documentation; compliance/adherence with federal, state, and local laws, rules, and regulations)
- An overall finding of not effective in any of the key areas (Student Achievement, School Climate, or Financial Management/Governance) may be the basis of a non-renewal decision.

The renewal process is a component of City Schools' annual review of its schools, designed to ensure that students and families across the district have access to school options that meet their interests and needs. The framework was originally developed in 2011 by the Renewal Stakeholders Working Group (composed of school operators from a range of school types, Supporting Public Schools of Choice, and the Maryland Charter School Network) who worked to develop a methodology for evaluating the performance of operator-run schools. The result: a fair, transparent, and rigorous renewal framework that reflects schools' unique nature and innovative contributions to student achievement, used for the first time in the 2012-13 school year, and a process for reviewing and updating the framework each year.

At the conclusion of each year's renewal cycle, staff engages operators and other key stakeholders in a review of the process to identify areas for improvement that could be addressed while still maintaining a level of predictability for schools up for renewal in the following year.

## **The Process**

Based on recommendation of staff and feedback from school operators and other stakeholders following the normal feedback and review cycle, the Baltimore City Board of School Commissioners approved a modified renewal process for school year 2021-22. This modified process was designed to take into considerations the lingering impacts of the Covid19 pandemic on student data while still holding operators accountable to delivering strong programs for students. This year's process takes a focused look at data in the key areas (Student Achievement, School Climate and Effective Financial Management and Governance). Since the scope of certain data items like state assessments is limited, the Board approved modifications so that the only terms schools will be eligible for are either a three-year renewal term or non-renewal<sup>1</sup>. Non-renewal recommendations will be considered for schools where the evidence indicates serious financial sustainability concerns, or inability to comply with critical state, federal and local guidelines that places students, staff or the district at risk. Thus, schools that earn a "Not Effective" rating in any of the following metrics will be recommended for non-renewal by the Charter and Operator-Led Schools Advisory Board to the CEO:

- Effective Programming for Students with Disabilities,
- Audit Content, Internal Controls,
- Operator Capacity, or
- Strategic Leadership/Governance (All of Domain 4 from SER).

If a school is considered for non-renewal based on any of these four metrics, the Board will also consider the school's record of renewal and academic performance, as measured in the Academic Success section of the renewal rubric, in making its renewal decision.

In addition to determining the renewal or non-renewal of a school's contract, the renewal process informs the Board and the public on the efficacy of school performance and practices, as well as helping identify areas for growth in schools' planning for their next contract term.

The revised process continues to use the following components:

- Renewal Rubric (Updated to reflect the above changes)
- Application for Renewal
- Data Tables prepared by City Schools
- School Effectiveness Review (Streamlined to include fewer key actions in metrics used in the School Climate section of the renewal rubric)

---

<sup>1</sup> In the modified renewal process for 2021-22, schools will not be eligible for a 5-year term or 8-year "extended" term. However, the outcome of the 2021-22 renewal process will allow schools to earn progress towards an extended renewal term in the future. According to Board Policy IHBJ – Public Charter Schools, "A public charter school shall only be eligible for an extended renewal term if the school has two or more consecutive full renewals, including demonstrating quality programming for all student groups." For the 2021-22 renewal process, a school that receives "Meets Expectations", "Effective" or "Highly Effective" in each of the four metrics that could lead to non-renewal listed above, as well as "Effective" or "Highly Effective" in the overall Academics section, will be considered to have earned a full renewal for their progress towards an 8-year extended renewal in future renewal processes.

The process starts with a review by the Charter and Operator-led Schools Advisory Board, whose members represent foundations, nonprofit organizations, school choice advocates, school operators, and district representatives; and whose function is to provide advice to the CEO on renewal and other issues as they relate to operator-led schools. In performing its review, the Advisory Board looks at all data and information, both quantitative and qualitative, to make sound recommendations to the CEO. After its review of the components above, the Advisory Board makes recommendations to City Schools’ CEO on whether charters or contracts should be renewed. The CEO considers the recommendations and the quantitative and qualitative review, and then makes her own recommendation to the Board. In some instances, the nature or severity of an issue raised during the renewal process is serious enough to give it extra weight in formulating the renewal recommendation and, ultimately, the renewal decision, especially in instances where the issue affects the wellbeing of students, staff, or the district as a whole.

The ultimate decision on all operator renewals rests with the Board, which considers the recommendations and rationale of the CEO, the operator renewal report, testimony given at public Board meetings and work sessions, the contents of the official record and the factors listed in policy IHBJ and administrative regulation IHBJ-RA for charter schools, and policy FCA and administrative regulation FCA-RA for non-charter schools. This includes the Board’s ability to consider prior partial renewal terms afforded to operators who have had serious challenges in the prior renewal that remain issues that continue to affect the wellbeing of students, staff, or the district as a whole based on evidence in this renewal process. In particular, as Policy IHBJ states, “[t]he Board may decide that a public charter school is not eligible for two consecutive partial renewals.”

Actions	Timeline
Schools submit renewal applications	September 2, 2021
Charter and Operator-led Schools Advisory Board reviews renewal applications and makes recommendations to the CEO	September to October 2021
District presents recommendations to Board at public meeting	November 9, 2021
Work Session for operator to provide oral testimony to Board in a meeting open to the public	December 9, 2021
Board votes on renewal recommendations	January 11, 2022

## **Southwest Baltimore Charter School #328**

Operator: Southwest Baltimore Charter School, Inc.  
Configuration: Elementary/Middle  
Type: Charter  
Enrollment: 399<sup>2</sup>

### **Recommendation**

*3-year renewal*

### **Discussion**

On January 11, 2022, the Baltimore City Board of School Commissioners voted to renew the contract with Southwest Baltimore Charter School, Inc. to operate Southwest Baltimore Charter School for a three-year term running from July 1, 2022 to June 30, 2025.

The school was rated “Meets Expectations” in Audits/Internal Controls, “Effective” in Effective Programming for Students with Disabilities, Operator Capacity, and Strategic Leadership/Governance, and “Developing” in Academics overall.

Academic performance at Southwest Baltimore Charter School is mixed, with middle grades showing stronger results while elementary grade performance is an area in need of improvement. The state performance ratings are based on the most recent years when the state assessment was given which are for school years 2016-17, 2017-18, and 2018-19. For PARCC absolute performance (2018-19), schools are compared to schools with similar levels of students considered economically disadvantaged (ED) because absolute performance on assessments is highly correlated to the relative wealth of student populations. Southwest Baltimore showed strong performance in middle grades where it rated “Effective” in both math 6-8 (66th percentile) and ELA 6-8 (69th percentile), but weaker performance in elementary grades with “Not Effective” ratings in math 3-5 (46th percentile) and ELA 3-5 (31st percentile). In PARCC achievement growth, which looks at the extent to which a school can improve individual student performance year to year over the three-year period considered, the school was rated “Highly Effective” in math 6-8 (82nd percentile overall) and Developing in ELA 6-8 (65th percentile). However, in the elementary grades, the school was “Not Effective” in both math 3-5 (40<sup>th</sup> percentile) and ELA 3-5 (38<sup>th</sup> percentile). These results reverse a pattern seen in the school’s last renewal review, in which the school showed stronger performance in elementary grades instead of middle. In this current renewal, despite the need for improvement in elementary grades instruction, the school did not fully acknowledge this difficulty in its renewal application or propose solutions in instructional approaches that would lead to stronger outcomes for students. While the school will be implementing a Mass Customized Learning model over the upcoming years, the application did not explain how this would address the specific deficits in elementary

---

<sup>2</sup> Total enrollment counts reflect the MSDE official enrollment file for grades k-12, which includes students enrolled on September 30 each year. This file is verified by MSDE prior to becoming the official enrollment count for the year.

instruction shown in the data. This is an area that the school must focus on so that they can provide a comprehensive focus on improvement at all grade levels.

Southwest Charter School was rated “Developing” in Fidelity to Charter/Application Overall, indicating that *“the school is beginning or attempting to implement the mission expressed in its charter application but the mission is not clear to most stakeholders. Evidence that the school has begun to gather data to assess its efficacy and has begun to develop and implement strategies to address any challenges evident in the data, particularly in the areas of student performance, enrollment, student attendance, dropout rates, attrition and student choice data/school demand, but these strategies have not yet been proven effective<sup>3</sup>.”* The school’s application described its mission “to create extraordinary experiences that inspire the thoughtful, courageous, compassionate citizens in every child” and gave examples of its implementation through arts integration programming, partnerships with the Baltimore Animal Rescue and Care Shelter, and commitment to Social/Emotional Learning strategies. Southwest Baltimore Charter School has developed an equity plan to improve equity in instruction, school culture, staff recruitment and development, and the overall well-being of the school community. However, it is unclear the school’s progress towards implementing the equity plan or meeting goals. The school describes practices in tracking academic and climate data, and has shown positive results in some areas such as a low suspension rate. In other areas such as attendance and chronic absence, the performance hovers near the district average; for middle grades there are improvements in comparison to the average especially in chronic absence where the school had a 18.5% chronic absence rate in 2019-20 compared to the district average middle grade chronic absence of rate 29.3% for that year.

The school was rated “Effective” in Effective Programming for Students with Disabilities. Evidence shows the school has *“demonstrated a trajectory of growth, is aware of its data and responsibilities to students with disabilities, has minimum gaps or has decreased gaps in the data as it relates to performance and climate metrics for students with disabilities over time, and has generally implemented appropriate processes, interventions and strategies to support student outcomes over the course of the contract.”* The school is on a trajectory for growth with students with disabilities in climate areas such as attendance, chronic absence, and suspensions. Academic performance of students with disabilities is mostly above the district average, though no elementary students scored proficient in the most recent year for which data is available, which is an area of growth for the school. An audit of school practices conducted by the Office of Special Education showed the school has strong practices in place that ensure students with disabilities are receiving appropriate instruction and services.

*For more information on school practices, please also see the school’s School Effectiveness Report available at [www.baltimorecityschools.org](http://www.baltimorecityschools.org).*

---

<sup>3</sup> Text in italics is quoted from the 2021-22 Operator Renewal Rubric

**Findings (elementary/middle school rubric)**

*Category 1. Has the school followed sufficient financial management and governance practices? Has the school had challenges with meeting critical district, state or federal guidelines? Has the school delivered strong academic programming for students with disabilities that demonstrates a trajectory of growth? Has the school provided strategic leadership and governance?*

<b>Sub-Category</b>	<b>Renewal Metric</b>	<b>City Schools Rating</b>
<b>1.1 Audit Content, Internal Controls</b>	The extent to which the school's Independent Auditor's Reports offer unqualified opinions and no management points in each of the years of the charter term. The extent to which statements of cash flow and ratio of assets to liabilities indicate that the operator has strong performance on their short-term liquidity measure.	<b>Meets Expectations</b>
<b>1.2 Effective Programming for Students with Disabilities</b>	The extent to which the school has a demonstrated a strong trajectory of growth, is aware of its data and responsibilities to students with disabilities, does not have any gaps or has decreased gaps in the data as it relates to performance and climate metrics for students with disabilities over time, and has effectively and consistently implemented processes, interventions and strategies to support student outcomes over the course of the contract.	<b>Effective</b>
<b>1.3 Operator Capacity</b>	The extent to which the school has operated effectively, and the operator has consistently met all state, federal reporting requirements critical District or federal obligations and has not received any Notices of Concern or Notices of Reprimand during the contract period. (Evidence that may be considered includes compliance with state or federal reporting requirements, budget submissions and monitoring reports, quarterly reports, and the relative number, frequency and severity of Notices of Concern or Notices of Reprimand.)	<b>Effective</b>
<b>1.4 Strategic Leadership/Governance</b>	School Effectiveness Review Score - Strategic Leadership	<b>Effective</b>
<b>There is no overall rating for this section as each metric is considered individually.</b>		

Category 2. Is the school an academic success?

Sub-Category	Renewal Metric	City Schools Rating
<b>2.1 Absolute Student Achievement</b>	Average Mean Scale Score PARCC ELA (grades 3-5)	<b>Not Effective</b>
	Average Mean Scale Score PARCC Math (grades 3-5)	<b>Not Effective</b>
	Average Mean Scale Score PARCC ELA (grades 6-8)	<b>Effective</b>
	Average Mean Scale Score PARCC Math (grades 6-8)	<b>Effective</b>
<b>2.2 Student Achievement Trend</b>	Trend in Average Mean Scale Score PARCC ELA (grades 3-5)	<b>Not Effective</b>
	Trend in Average Mean Scale Score PARCC Math (grades 3-5)	<b>Not Effective</b>
	Trend in Average Mean Scale Score PARCC ELA (grades 6-8)	<b>Highly Effective</b>
	Trend in Average Mean Scale Score PARCC Math (grades 6-8)	<b>Effective</b>
<b>2.3 Student Achievement Growth</b>	Growth in Average Mean Scale Score PARCC ELA (grades 3-5)	<b>Not Effective</b>
	Growth in Average Mean Scale Score PARCC Math (grades 3-5)	<b>Not Effective</b>
	Growth in Average Mean Scale Score PARCC ELA (grades 6-8)	<b>Developing</b>
	Growth in Average Mean Scale Score PARCC Math (grades 6-8)	<b>Highly Effective</b>
<b>2.4 Fidelity to Charter/Application Overall</b>	The extent to which the school has fully implemented the mission expressed in its charter application and this mission is clear to all stakeholders. The extent to which the school has delivered high quality programming for all student subgroups. The extent to which the school is gathering data to assess its efficacy and has effectively addressed any challenges evident in the data, particularly in the areas of subgroup performance, enrollment, student attendance, dropout rates, attrition and student choice data/school demand.	<b>Developing</b>
<b>Academics Overall Rating</b>		<b>Developing</b>

*Category 3. Does the school have a strong climate?*

As part of the modified renewal process for 2021-22, Domains 1-3 of the School Effectiveness Review (SER), which are considered in the Climate section of the renewal rubric, were modified and abbreviated. These domains did not receive overall ratings and are summarized below. For more information on the efficacy of school practice in these domains please see the full SER report available on the district website at <https://www.baltimorecityschools.org/renewal>.

<b>Domain 1: Highly Effective Instruction</b>		
<b>Domain</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Rating</b>
<b>1.1</b>	School leadership supports highly effective instruction.	<b>Effective</b>
<b>1.2</b>	Teachers use multiple data sources to adjust practice.	<b>Not Rated</b>
<b>1.3</b>	Teachers deliver highly effective instruction.	<b>Developing</b>
<b>1.4</b>	Teachers establish a classroom environment in which teaching, and learning can occur.	<b>Not rated</b>

<b>Domain 2: Talented People</b>		
<b>Domain</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Rating</b>
<b>2.1</b>	The school implements systems to select effective teachers and staff whose skills and beliefs meet the needs of the school.	<b>Not Rated</b>
<b>2.2</b>	The school develops teacher and staff capacity through individualized support and professional development.	<b>Effective</b>

<b>Domain 3: Vision and Engagement</b>		
<b>Domain</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Rating</b>
<b>3.1</b>	The school has a clear vision and mission that promotes a student-centered, culturally relevant learning that prepares students for future success.	<b>Effective</b>
<b>3.2</b>	The school cultivates and sustains open communication and decision-making opportunities with families and the community.	<b>Effective</b>
<b>3.3</b>	The climate and culture of the school creates a welcoming learning environment that meets the academic, social, and emotional needs of each student.	<b>Not Rated</b>